Determination of filtration performance of respiratory protective device Nonpowered air-purifying particle respirator (KN95) applying EN 149 and EN 1822



Customer Papival SA

Loic Fahrni

Route de prejeux 10

1950 Valais, SWITZERLAND loic.fahrni@papival.ch

Order ref W5FFPT200035-01, dated 9.7.2020

Contact person Eurofins Expert Services Oy

Antti Korhonen Tekniikantie 4B 02150 Espoo

AnttiKorhonen@eurofins.fi

The results are only valid for the tested sample(s). This report may be published in its entity, parts of it only with a written permission by Eurofins

Expert Services

2 (3)

Assignment

Determination of filtration performance of respiratory protective device Nonpowered air-purifying particle respirator (KN95) applying EN 149 and EN 1822.

Sample details

The customer delivered total of 12 samples. All samples were tested. Samples are detailed in Appendix 1. Sample package are presented in Appendix 7

Samples were received 13.7.2020

The measurements were made 16.-17.2020

Methods

Respiratory protective devices performance was determined applying EN 149:2001 /1/ and EN 1822 /2/.

Deviating from the standard the test aerosols used in the test were DEHS (Di-Ethyl-Hexyl-Sebacat) oil based solution and KCI (potassium chloride) instead of paraffin oil mist and NaCI (sodium chloride) aerosols.

DEHS and KCI fractional efficiency curves are used in estimating a response given by flame photometer or photometer.

Calculated flame photometer response is used when evaluating NaCl performance simulated by KCl aerosol. Particle is considered as spherical when calculating volume/mass penetration distribution.

Calculated photometer response is based on diffraction ratio of total particle area is used when evaluation paraffin oil mist performance simulated by DEHS aerosol. Particle is considered as spherical when calculating area penetration distribution.

Particle size distribution to simulate paraffin oil mist has median particle size of 0.37 μ m with geometric mean deviation of 1.9 and respectively for NaCl particle size distribution has median particle size of 0.08 μ m with geometric standard deviation of 2.5. These are average value requirements presented in EN 13274-7:2019.

Test area for each sample is ~95 cm²

Respiratory protective device was installed material testing device build according to EN 779, which can be applied measuring according to EN 1822.

Breathing resistance and fractional efficiencies was measured in continuous air flow rate of 95 l/min according to EN 149. Breathing resistance results are presented as pascals instead of mbars. 1 mbar = 100 Pa.

Instruments used in the tests are presented in appendix 6.

The samples were tested in a condition they were received.

Expert Services

3 (3)

Results

Results presented in this test report relies heavily on calculations and should be treated as such. Real life responses from flame photometer or photometer may be drastically different from the results presented in this report.

It is recommended, to use fractional efficiency curve presented in Appendix 3 when evaluating device performance.

Summary of test results are presented in Appendix 1.

Breathing resistance and air flow rates are presented in Appendix 2.

Average fractional efficiency curve and sample efficiency measurements are presented in Appendix 3.

Average calculated particle and mass or area penetrations from generated aerosol particle size distribution are presented in Appendix 4.

Test aerosol particle numbers measured in determination of fractional efficiency is presented in Appendix 5.

The results are only valid for the tested samples.

References

/1/ SFS-EN 149 + A1. Respiratory protective devices. Filtering half masks to protect against particles. Requirements, testing, marking

/2/ SFS-EN 1822-3. HIGH EFFICIENCY AIR FILTERS (EPA, HEPA AND ULPA). PART 3: TESTING FLAT SHEET FILTER MEDIA

/3/ EN 13274-7:2019 Respiratory protective devices. Methods of test. Part 7: Determination of particle filter penetration

Espoo 22.7.2020

Antti Korhonen

Consulting Expert

Appendices

7

Distribution

Customer, electronically approved



TEST RESULTS

GENERAL

Test no.:	204206	Date of test:	16.7.2020	Supervisor: AK
Test requested by:	Papival SA			Device receiving date:
Device delivered by:	Papival SA			13.7.2020

DEVICE TESTED

Model	Manufacturer		
Non-powered air-purifying particle respirator (KN95)	Guangdong aozhen Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd		
Type of media	Construction		
Synthetic	Respiratory protective device, half cup		

TEST DATA

Test air flow rate	Test air temperature	Test air relative humidity	Test aerosols
95 1/min	22 - 22 °C	42 - 51 %	DEHS & KCl

RESULTS

Comple	Breathing	Calculated filtration efficiencies from simulated		Estimated filtration class		
Sample	resistance	Paraffin oil mist, %	NaCl, %	Estillated Iltration class		
1	107 Pa	97.0	98.9			
2	102 Pa	94.6	97.2			
3	107 Pa	95.6	98.0			
4	110 Pa	95.9	98.1			
5	53 Pa	91.6	95.2			
6	56 Pa	91.2	95.2			
7	117 Pa	96.2	97.8	FFP2		
8	128 Pa	98.2	99.3			
9	138 Pa	97.2	98.4			
10	60 Pa	89.6	95.0			
11	102 Pa	96.5	97.9			
12	109 Pa	97.2	98.6			
Average	99 Pa	95.1 ± 2.7	97.5 \pm 1.5			
Remarks:	Efficiencies have been calculated for paraffin oil and NaCl test aerosol particle distributions presented in EN 13274-7.					
	Results are presented as filtration efficiency from overall particle area or mass from calculated aerosol particle size distributions					
NOTE:	OTE: The performance results cannot by themselves be quantitatively applied to predict filter performance in service.					
	The results relate only to the tested item.					